

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                      | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/789,970   | 02/27/2004    | Daryl B. Olander     | ORACL-01375US0      | 9424             |
| 28910<br>FLIESLER MEYER LLP<br>650 CALIFORNIA STREET |               |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|  |               |                      | BELOUSOV, ANDREY    |                  |
| 14TH FLOOR<br>SAN FRANCI                             | SCO, CA 94108 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  | ,             |                      | 2174                |                  |
|  |               |                      |                     |                  |
|  |               |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |               |                      | 02/02/2009          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/789,970
 OLANDER ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 ANDREY BELOUSOV
 2174

ANDREY BELOUSOV All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) ANDREY BELOUSOV. (3) Joseph P. O'Mallev. (2) Steven Sax. (4)\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 29 January 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Popp. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the rejection of the last amended limitation in view of Popp, and possible claim amendment suggestions. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. /Steven P Sax/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2174